

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| L | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---|--|-------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| | 09/716,028 | 11/17/0 | O LOWMAN | | Н | P1123R1D1 |
| Г | - | 1 | HM12/1029 | | EXAMINER | |
| | GENENTECH INC ATTN CRAIG G SVOBODA ESQ 1 DNA WAY | | | | EWOLDT, G | |
| | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | SOUTH SAN I | FRANCISCO (| A 94080-4990 | | 1644 | 8 |
| | | | | | DATE MAILED: | 10/29/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/716,028

Applicant(s)

Examiner

G. R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644

Lowman et al.

| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION | IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this could be selected above is less than thirty. | of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed | | | | |
| be considered timely. | ommunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will | | | | |
| communication. | tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t | | | | |
| | y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133) | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Au | g 23, 2001 | | | | |
| 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 7 | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | vance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | Ex parte duayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| 4) 🔀 Claim(s) 32-39 and 41-47 | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the character of | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| (S) | is/are withdrawn from annial at | | | | |
| <u>92 01, 00, 00, 42-40, and 47</u> | is/are allowed | | | | |
| 574 Claim(s) 35-37, 41, and 46 | is/are rainated | | | | |
| , = 0.0(0) | is/are chicated to | | | | |
| 8) U Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on | is/are objected to by the Examinor | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved. | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I | is: a)∟ approved b)∟ disapproved. Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore | ign priority under 25 H.C.O. C. case. | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | an phonty under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | |
| application from the International | ity documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | |
| "See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received | | | | | |
| 14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome | estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 18) Letanian C | | | | |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 20) Other: | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |

Serial No. 08/716,028 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

The reference in the first line of the specification must be updated to indicate that the instant application is a divisional application of parent application 09/109,207, now U.S. Patent No. 6,172,213.

Applicant indicates that such an amendment was submitted on 11/17/00. However, the Office's copy of an amendment filed on 11/17/00 contains no amendments to page 1 of the specification.

- In view of Applicant's amendment and response, filed 8/23/01, only the following rejections remain.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 35-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, Claims 35 and 36 remain nonsensical, and thus indefinite, in that not all members of the "antibody" Markush groupings comprise antibodies.
- The following are New Ground for Rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment, filed 8/23/01.
- Claims 37, 41, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically:
 - A) Claims 37 and 41 duplicate Claim 32,
- B) Claim 46 is indefinite in the recitation of "antiinterferon," "anti-tumor necrosis factor," "anti-interleukin-2," and "anti-L3T4". Applicant is advised that the addition of "antibody" to the claim would obviate the rejection, i.e., "the immunosuppressive agent is an antibody selected from the group consisting of:".

Serial No. 08/716,028 Art Unit 1644

- 7. Claims 32-34, 38, 39, 42-45, and 47 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600
October 24, 2001

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600